

# Tennis elbow and the cervical spine

C. CHAN GUNN, MA, MB, B CHIR; W.E. MILBRANDT,\* MD

The exact cause of tennis elbow, a common condition, is still obscure. While the condition may well be entirely due to a local disorder at the elbow, the results of a study of 50 patients whose condition was resistant to 4 weeks of treatment directed to the elbow suggest that the underlying condition may have been (at least in these patients) a reflex localization of pain from radiculopathy at the cervical spine. Clinical, radiologic and electromyographic findings supported this suggestion. The pain was demonstrated to be muscular tenderness, which was maximal and specific at motor points. Treatment directed to the cervical spine appeared to give relief in the majority of patients. The more resistant the condition, the more severe were the radiologic and electromyographic findings in the cervical spine.

La cause exacte de l'épaule douloureuse du joueur de tennis, une affection fréquente, est encore obscure. Bien que cette affection puisse bien être entièrement due à un malaise localisé au coude, les résultats d'une étude conduite chez 50 patients dont l'affection s'était montrée résistante à 4 semaines de traitement du coude, suggèrent que la pathologie sous-jacente puisse avoir été (du moins chez ces patients) une perception réflexe de la douleur résultant d'une radiculopathie de la colonne cervicale. Les observations cliniques, radiologiques et électromyographiques vont à l'appui de cette hypothèse. Il a été démontré que la douleur résultait d'une sensibilité musculaire, qui était maximale et spécifique au niveau des centres moteurs. Un traitement appliqué à la colonne cervicale semble avoir apporté un soulagement chez la majorité des patients. Plus l'affection était résistante, plus les examens radiologiques et électromyographiques montraient des lésions graves.

Tennis elbow, a common affliction, the exact cause of which is unknown, has been considered to be a self-limiting condition, seldom persisting for longer than 12 months,<sup>1</sup> yet symptoms may continue longer despite all types of conservative treatment<sup>2,3</sup> or even surgery.<sup>4</sup>

This paper reports a new approach: in a series of 50 patients treatment was directed to the cervical spine after at least 4 weeks of treatment of the elbow had failed, and it was successful in most.

## Patients and symptoms

The 50 patients with tennis elbow, 37 men and 13 women, were referred by attending physicians to the rehabilitation clinic of the Workers' Compensation Board of British Columbia for management. In many the condition had not responded to the usual conservative office measures, such as injections of steroids and local anesthetics, manipulation, ultrasound, friction massage and immobilization. Their age distribution was as follows: 21 to 30 years, 8 patients; 31 to 40 years, 10; 41 to 50 years, 13; 51 to 60 years, 15; and over 60 years, 4.

All were right-side-dominant, but three had only left-side complaints. Eleven had bilateral lateral epicondylar symptoms, 12 had concurrent medial epicondylar symptoms and 7 had bilateral medial and lateral epicondylar symptoms. The time lapse be-

tween onset of symptoms and referral to the clinic was 8 weeks or less in 24, 8 to 12 weeks in 11 and more than 12 weeks in 15.

The clinical types of tennis elbow, classified by onset and injury, were the following:

1. Acute type, precipitated by indirect trauma (Cyriax's type I) — for example, probable avulsion due to acute pull of forearm extensor muscles at their origin (four patients).

2. Subacute type, following indirect trauma (Cyriax's type II), from repeated and forcible extension movements at the wrist (six patients).

3. Insidious onset (Cyriax's type III), with no specific single incident (11 patients).

4. Acute onset following blunt trauma (Cyriax's type IV) (six patients).

5. Associated with cervical "strain", with history of hyperextension or flexion injury to cervical spine or neck "strain" (13 patients).

6. Associated with jolt or traction to shoulder (three patients).

7. Not classified elsewhere — for example, as part of multiple injuries, when exact mechanism is not determinable (seven patients).

## Examination

In addition to eliciting the classic signs, the examiner palpated carefully the entire elbow region with a blunt point or the tip of a finger. Points




FIG. 1—Some motor points of posterior aspect of right arm.

From the rehabilitation clinic, Workers' Compensation Board of British Columbia, Vancouver

\*Medical director, rehabilitation clinic

Reprint requests to: Dr. C.C. Gunn, Clinical research unit, Rehabilitation clinic, Workers' Compensation Board, 5255 Heather St., Vancouver, BC V5Z 3L8

of maximal tenderness found (usually four) were accurately determined and marked. These points seemed to correspond to the sites of muscle motor points in the region<sup>6</sup> and were confirmed as such by electric stimulation. A motor point is defined as the site where a muscle twitch may be evoked in response to minimal electric stimulation. This point, a fixed anatomic site, lies close to where the motor nerve enters the muscle.<sup>6</sup> Many of the motor points of the wrist extensors lie around the lateral epicondyle of the humerus, where there is also a rich supply of sensory nerve fibre endings (Fig 1).<sup>7</sup> Other upper-limb motor points where tenderness might be found were likewise examined (Figs. 2, 3 and 4). Since the tender muscles have common root derivations of their motor nerves (Table I), the cervical spine was also examined.

Electromyographic examination was performed in 42 patients, and in all patients with a history of acroparesthesia or in whom carpal tunnel syndrome or ulnar nerve tardiness was suspected, motor nerve conduction velocity tests were done to exclude such conditions.

#### Physical findings

The wrist extensor motor points generally found to be tender were those of the brachioradialis, extensor carpi radialis, supinator, extensor digitorum and extensor carpi ulnaris; these points are closely situated in an area of about 5 cm in diameter. Tenderness in other areas was of similar quality and often equal intensity; frequently both sides were involved. The frequency of tenderness at the various

motor points is shown in Table II.

Eighteen patients showed slight limitation of lateral rotation or lateral tilting of the cervical spine to the affected side. On that side, in all patients, the apophyseal joints of involved levels were tender to digital pressure (commonly C5 and C6) and when carefully

**Table I—Spinal cord root derivations of motor nerves supplying arm and shoulder muscles**

Muscle	Motor nerve root derivation
Infraspinatus, supraspinatus	C5,6
Deltoid	C5,6
Biceps	C5,6
Brachioradialis	C5,6
Pectoralis major	C5-8, T1
Triceps	C6-8, T1
Extensor carpi radialis	C6-8
Flexor carpi radialis	C6-8
Pronator quadratus	C8, T1
Flexor carpi ulnaris	C7,8, T1
Dorsal interossei	C8, T1

**Table II—Frequency of tenderness at various motor points**

Muscle of tender motor point	Frequency* of tenderness
Trapezius	36
Supraspinatus	39
Infraspinatus	12
Deltoid (any of three points)	28
Pectoralis major	13
Biceps brachialis	15
Extensor carpi radialis	57
Extensor carpi ulnaris	57
Extensor digitorum	50
Brachioradialis	12
Triceps (any of three points)	6
Adductor pollicis brevis	13
Flexor carpi ulnaris	16

\*Out of a possible 100 — that is, 50 patients  $\times$  2 sides.




FIG. 2—Some motor points of anterior aspect of right arm.

examined showed resistance to passive motion.<sup>8</sup>

Muscle atrophy, especially of the trapezius, supraspinatus, triceps and deltoid, was noted in 15 patients, and partial loss of sensation to pinprick over the associated dermatome was detected in 1 patient.

In 10 patients an autonomic (pilo-motor and sudomotor) reflex was elicited when the patient was exposed to cold air: the skin over the dermatome involved — generally C5,6 — showed “goose pimples” or an erector pili effect (cutis anserina). This reflex (sometimes accompanied by excessive perspiration in the axillae) could also be induced by digital frictional pressure over many of the tender motor points.<sup>9</sup> Deep reflexes were always normal.

#### Radiologic findings

Radiographs of the elbow invariably showed no significant findings, but radiographs of the cervical spine in 34 patients (average age, 47 years) showed changes commensurate with age.

#### Electromyographic findings

All 42 patients showed some abnormal electromyographic findings of early neuropathy or radiculopathy in




FIG. 3—Patient with many tender anterior motor points (marked X).




FIG. 4—Patient (same as in Fig. 3) with several tender posterior motor points (marked X).

affected myotomes. Discharge of action potentials due to mechanical excitation was often increased, and with voluntary activity the mean duration of the action potentials appeared prolonged, but the amplitude was normal or reduced.<sup>10</sup> Typically, polyphasic potentials appeared in abnormal numbers. The interference pattern was reduced and in severe cases lost altogether. In some patients, action potentials of individual units could be identified even during maximum contraction.

### Treatment

When first assessed, the condition of 23 of the 50 patients had not improved with at least 4 weeks of standard treatment measures. Two had had bilateral surgical procedures but pain had persisted. In view of the apparent relation of elbow symptoms to disorders of the cervical spine, our approach to relieving the symptoms in this group (group A) was directed immediately to the neck.

The other 27 patients (group B), who had not previously received local treatment of the elbow, were first given ultrasound, friction massage, ice and other therapy, and when symptoms persisted after 4 weeks, treatment was directed instead to the neck.

Treatment of the cervical spine included one or more of the following:

1. Mobilization (Maitland's grades I to IV).<sup>11</sup>
2. Cervical traction.
3. Isometric cervical exercises.
4. Heat or ultrasound, or both, applied to apophyseal joints if excessive tenderness was present.

### Response to cervical treatment

Of the patients in group A the average duration of cervical treatment in the 22 who responded was 4.7 weeks; 1 patient still had symptoms on discharge after 9 weeks. Of the patients in group B the average total duration of treatment (elbow and neck) at the clinic in the 25 who responded was 11.1 weeks, and the average duration of neck treatment was 5.8 weeks; 2 patients still had symptoms on discharge after 18 to 20 weeks. The time lapse between onset of symptoms and beginning of treatment did not appear to influence duration or outcome of treatment.

**Relation to electromyographic findings:** Of the 42 patients who had an electromyographic examination the average duration of treatment in the 39 who responded was 5.3 weeks (4.7 weeks in the 20 with mild electromyographic abnormalities and 7.2 weeks in the 19 with moderate to severe abnormalities); 3 patients with moderate to

severe abnormalities continued to have symptoms.

**Relation to radiologic findings:** The average duration of treatment was as follows: in the 16 patients with normal radiologic findings, 4.8 weeks; in the 19 patients with minor radiologic abnormalities (restricted motion, early degenerative changes), 4.7 weeks; and in the 12 patients with moderate to severe radiologic abnormalities (severe osteoarthritis, narrowing of disc space, foramina encroachment), 7.31 weeks. The three patients who continued to have symptoms had moderate to severe radiologic abnormalities.

### Results on discharge from the clinic

**Good:** These 29 patients were able to resume their previous occupation.

**Satisfactory:** These 14 patients returned to light duties or changed to a suitable, nonaggravating occupation.

**Fair:** Four patients were discharged with residual discomfort. Some relief was obtained with a cervical traction apparatus used at home. All returned to a suitable, nonaggravating occupation.

**Poor:** Three patients, with severe radiologic and electromyographic abnormalities, continued to complain of symptoms on discharge.

### Follow-up

Of the 47 patients who responded to treatment 44 were assessed at 3 and 6 months (time of writing) after discharge. They had had no further symptoms and had not sought further medical attention. Of the three who had symptoms on discharge, two were asymptomatic within 3 months and one, with severe cervical spondylosis, continued to have symptoms, even at a 1-year follow-up.

### Discussion

It is obviously not possible to draw definite conclusions from this small series because the condition of tennis elbow is often self-limiting, yet the findings challenge some current concepts.

For instance, women in this series were proportionately affected more than twice as often as men. Although the proportion of women affected was only 26% (13 patients), this is more than twice the usual proportion of women attending the clinic for other injuries — 12% (598 of 4990 patients in 1974).

Bilateral and medial epicondylar symptoms are said to be unusual, yet 22% (11 patients) in this series had bilateral lateral epicondylar symptoms and 24% (12 patients) had concurrent medial epicondylar symptoms; 14% (7

patients) had bilateral lateral and medial epicondylar symptoms.

In this study a force overload to the extensor muscles, direct or indirect, was found to be not the only precipitating factor; in 26% (13 patients) cervical "strain" was associated, and 84% (42 patients) showed some electromyographic evidence of cervical radiculopathy as well as physical signs in muscles of the myotomes involved.

While the pain may have presented at the bony epicondyle, maximum tenderness was more commonly found in the muscles at the several motor points that are close together and situated over bony prominences, where they are subjected to tension or pressure.

Other physical signs found, related to the cervical spine, were selective atrophy of muscles, especially the triceps and supraspinatus (15 patients), altered dermatomal sensation (1 patient) and presence of an autonomic reflex (10 patients).

These findings led us to conclude that, at least in this group of selected patients, the condition of tennis elbow was related to disorders of the cervical spine; therefore, when treatment to the elbow failed, neck treatment was tried — with good results. It is probable that in many patients some degree of cervical degeneration preceded the elbow condition.

In this series, treatment of the cervical spine was followed by good or satisfactory relief of elbow symptoms in 86% (43 patients) in an average of 5.25 weeks. In four patients the continual use of a cervical traction apparatus at home provided relief. Two of the three patients who had symptoms at the time of discharge subsequently improved within 12 weeks. Recovery time may be related to the degree of trauma sustained. Denny-Brown and Brenner<sup>12</sup> have shown that mild percussive trauma to a nerve leads to swelling and local edema of the nerve, together with dissolution of the myelin, and recovery takes at least 4 to 5 weeks; however, if the trauma is sufficiently severe to lead to Wallerian degeneration, recovery takes at least 12 weeks. In the one patient in this series with persistent pain, treatment probably failed to relieve the causative factor (severe cervical spondylosis). The time lapse between onset of symptoms and beginning of treatment bore no relation to the outcome of treatment.

We are grateful to the commissioners of the board and Dr. A.S. Little, director of medical services, Workers' Compensation Board of British Columbia, for their support and advice; and to Mr. G. Page, supervisor of the physiotherapy department, Miss C. Patterson, Mrs. J.E. Gun-

nyon and the other members of the staff for their cooperation and involvement in the project.

References

1. CYRIAX JH: Pathology and treatment of tennis elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 18: 921, 1936

2. COONRAD RW, HOOPER WR: Tennis elbow: its course, natural history, conservative and surgical management. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 55: 1177, 1973

3. SAVASTANO AA, KAMIONEK S, KNOWLES K, et al: Treatment of resistant tennis elbow by a combined surgical procedure. *Int Surg* 57: 470, 1972

4. BOYD HB, MCLEOD AC: Tennis elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 55: 1183, 1973

5. CHUSID JG: *Correlative Neuroanatomy and Functional Neurology*, 15th ed, Los Altos, CA, Lange, 1973, p 237

6. COERS C: Note sur une technique de prélèvement des biopsies neuromusculaires. *Acta Neurol Psychiatr Belg* 53: 759, 1953

7. GOLDIE I: Epicondylitis lateralis humeri (epicondylalgia or tennis elbow): a pathogenetical study. *Acta Chir Scand [Suppl]* 339: 1, 1964

8. CYRIAX JH: *Textbook of Orthopaedic Medicine*, vol 1, 5th ed, London, Bailliere Tindall, 1969, p 167

9. BONICA JJ: Management of myofascial pain syndromes in general practice. *JAMA* 164: 732, 1957

10. BUCHTHAL F, PINELLI P: Analysis of muscle action potentials in muscular atrophy of neurogenic origin. *Neurology (Minneap)* 3: 591, 1953

11. MAITLAND GD: *Vertebral Manipulation*, 3rd ed, London, Butterworth, 1973, pp 76-95

12. DENNY-BROWN D, BRENNER C: The effect of percussion on nerve. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 7: 76, 1944

Reprinted from CMA JOURNAL/MAY 8, 1976/VOL. 114

31